

Case MDL No. 3144


Document 88

Filed 04/14/25

Page 1 of 2

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: TIKTOK, INC., MINOR PRIVACY
LITIGATION**



2:25-md-03144-GW-RAO

MDL No. 3144

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -1)

On April 3, 2025, the Panel transferred 4 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Central District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See F.Supp.3d (J.P.M.L. 2025). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the Central District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable George H. Wu.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Central District of California and assigned to Judge Wu.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Central District of California for the reasons stated in the order of April 3, 2025, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable George H. Wu.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

James V. Ingold

James V. Ingold
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby attest and certify on APR 16 2025
that the foregoing document is a full, true
and correct copy of the original on file in
my office, and in my legal custody.

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

[Handwritten Signature]
RENI CO SMITH



1175

**IN RE: TIKTOK, INC., MINOR PRIVACY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 3144

SCHEDULE CTO-1 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	3	25-01304	W. v. ByteDance, Inc. et al 2:25-CV-03330-GW-RAOx
CAN	3	25-01320	J.O. v. ByteDance, Inc. et al 2:25-CV-03333-GW-RAOx
CAN	3	25-02097	Brodiski et al v. TikTok Inc. 2:25-CV-03336-GW-RAOx
CAN	5	25-02239	Armbuster et al v. ByteDance, Inc. 2:25-CV-03338-GW-RAOx
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	8	25-00730	J.R. v. ByteDance, Inc. et al
FLORIDA NORTHERN			
FLN	4	25-00109	RILEY v. BYTEDANCE INC et al
FLN	4	25-00112	LINDSEY v. BYTEDANCE INC et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	0	25-60498	MICHAEL LUONG on behalf of D.L v. BYTEDANCE, INC et al
FLS	2	25-14095	H.T. v. ByteDance Inc. et al
FLS	+	<u>25-21250</u>	<u>Ramlaani Benton v. Bytedance, Inc. et al.</u> Vacated 4/14/2025
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	25-00457	Martin et al v. Bytdance, Inc. et al
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	25-00677	Walters v. ByteDance, Inc. et al
MISSOURI EASTERN			
MOE	4	25-00310	White v. Bytedance, Inc. et al
WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN			
WVS	2	25-00142	Hinkle et al v. ByteDance, Inc. et al